UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

S	AC.	\overline{IY}	Μſ	ISIC	ENTE	RTAL	NN	MENT,	et al
---	-----	-----------------	----	-------------	------	------	----	-------	-------

Plaintiffs,

v.

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00950-LO-JFA

[PROPOSED] ORDER

UPON CONSIDERATION of Plaintiffs' motion *in limine* to exclude certain evidence and arguments, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED. Cox is precluded from offering evidence or argument at trial concerning the following:

- 1. Any evidence or argument inconsistent with this Court's factual findings in its summary judgment decision concerning Cox's DMCA defense in *BMG v. Cox*, Case No. 14-CV-1611 (E.D. Va.);
- 2. Any evidence or argument concerning Cox's misleading and unsupported contention that "96% Stop by 5 Notices";
- 3. Any evidence or argument relating to the policies or practices of other ISPs (including the Copyright Alert System);
- 4. Any argument that Cox is not liable for infringement over its network by users other than named account holders;
- 5. Any evidence or argument concerning the MarkMonitor '236 spreadsheet (DX 98 DX 100);
- 6. The REV0003444 spreadsheet (DX 158) and any related testimony or argument;
- 7. Emails Cox received from customers purporting to respond to Plaintiffs' infringement notices (DX 363 DX 2200);
- 8. Any evidence or argument concerning Plaintiffs' track-level revenues from the works in suit;

- 9. Affirmative deposition testimony from witnesses Cox controls and could bring to trial to testify in person;
- 10. Live testimony from four key witnesses it refuses to make available for live examination in Plaintiffs' case in chief; and
- 11. Testimony from Victoria Sheckler.

ENTERED this day of October 2019.	
Alexandria, Virginia	
	Judge for the Eastern District of Virginia